

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number 1-13796

Gray Television, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Georgia

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

58-0285030

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

4370 Peachtree Road, NE, Atlanta, Georgia

(Address of principal executive offices)

30319

(Zip code)

(404) 504-9828

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company
(do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date.

Common Stock, (No Par Value)

51,386,313 shares outstanding as of October 31, 2010

Class A Common Stock, (No Par Value)

5,753,020 shares outstanding as of October 31, 2010

INDEX

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.

	<u>PAGE</u>	
<u>PART I.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Condensed consolidated balance sheets (Unaudited) — September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u>	3
	<u>Condensed consolidated statements of operations (Unaudited) — three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	5
	<u>Condensed consolidated statement of stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (Unaudited) — nine months ended September 30, 2010</u>	6
	<u>Condensed consolidated statements of cash flows (Unaudited) — nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	7
	<u>Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (Unaudited) — September 30, 2010</u>	8
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	35
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	35
<u>PART II.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	35
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	35
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	36
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		37
<u>EX-31.1</u>		
<u>EX-31.2</u>		
<u>EX-32.1</u>		
<u>EX-32.2</u>		

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**Item 1. Financial Statements**

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)
(in thousands)

	<u>September 30,</u> <u>2010</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2009</u>
Assets:		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 20,170	\$ 16,000
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$950 and \$1,092, respectively	56,094	57,179
Current portion of program broadcast rights, net	13,468	10,220
Deferred tax asset	1,597	1,597
Prepaid and other current assets	<u>3,405</u>	<u>1,788</u>
Total current assets	94,734	86,784
Property and equipment, net	135,664	148,092
Deferred loan costs, net	13,008	1,619
Broadcast licenses	818,981	818,981
Goodwill	170,522	170,522
Other intangible assets, net	954	1,316
Investment in broadcasting company	13,599	13,599
Other	<u>4,497</u>	<u>4,826</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 1,251,959</u>	<u>\$ 1,245,739</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)
(in thousands)

	<u>September 30,</u> <u>2010</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2009</u>
Liabilities and stockholders' equity:		
Current liabilities:		
Trade accounts payable	\$ 2,566	\$ 6,047
Employee compensation and benefits	12,574	9,675
Accrued interest	17,434	13,531
Other accrued expenses	5,216	4,814
Interest rate hedge derivatives	—	6,344
Federal and state income taxes	3,829	4,206
Current portion of program broadcast obligations	18,530	15,271
Acquisition related liabilities	863	863
Deferred revenue	9,989	6,241
Current portion of long-term debt	5,011	8,080
Total current liabilities	<u>76,012</u>	<u>75,072</u>
Long-term debt, less current portion	840,846	783,729
Long-term accrued facility fee	11,139	18,307
Program broadcast obligations, less current portion	1,407	1,531
Deferred income taxes	144,127	142,204
Long-term deferred revenue	1,987	2,638
Long-term accrued dividends	12,447	18,917
Accrued pension costs	13,415	13,969
Other	1,705	2,366
Total liabilities	<u>1,103,085</u>	<u>1,058,733</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Preferred stock, no par value; cumulative; redeemable; designated 1.00 shares, issued and outstanding 0.39 and 1.00 shares, respectively (\$39,307 and \$100,000 aggregate liquidation value, respectively)	<u>37,063</u>	<u>93,386</u>
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, no par value; authorized 100,000 shares, issued 56,040 shares and 47,530 shares, respectively	479,639	453,824
Class A common stock, no par value; authorized 15,000 shares, issued 7,332 shares	15,321	15,321
Accumulated deficit	(315,192)	(303,698)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of income tax benefit	(5,444)	(9,314)
	174,324	156,133
Treasury stock at cost, common stock, 4,655 shares	(40,115)	(40,115)
Treasury stock at cost, Class A common stock, 1,579 shares	(22,398)	(22,398)
Total stockholders' equity	<u>111,811</u>	<u>93,620</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 1,251,959</u>	<u>\$ 1,245,739</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)
(in thousands except for per share data)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues (less agency commissions)	\$ 85,345	\$ 66,446	\$ 231,463	\$ 192,857
Operating expenses before depreciation, amortization and gain on disposal of assets, net:				
Broadcast	49,796	46,173	143,455	136,994
Corporate and administrative	3,369	3,308	10,128	10,946
Depreciation	7,495	8,025	23,401	24,538
Amortization of intangible assets	120	145	362	440
Gain on disposals of assets, net	(85)	(1,835)	(609)	(4,455)
	<u>60,695</u>	<u>55,816</u>	<u>176,737</u>	<u>168,463</u>
Operating income	24,650	10,630	54,726	24,394
Other income (expense):				
Miscellaneous (expense) income, net	(15)	13	43	26
Interest expense	(16,671)	(19,400)	(53,713)	(49,520)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	—	(349)	(8,352)
	<u>7,964</u>	<u>(8,757)</u>	<u>707</u>	<u>(33,452)</u>
Income (loss) before income taxes	7,964	(8,757)	707	(33,452)
Income tax expense (benefit)	2,456	(3,237)	(592)	(12,364)
Net income (loss)	5,508	(5,520)	1,299	(21,088)
Preferred dividends (includes accretion of issuance cost of \$118, \$301, \$4,371, and \$903, respectively)	1,789	4,468	12,793	12,569
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 3,719</u>	<u>\$ (9,988)</u>	<u>\$ (11,494)</u>	<u>\$ (33,657)</u>
Basic per share information:				
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 0.07</u>	<u>\$ (0.21)</u>	<u>\$ (0.22)</u>	<u>\$ (0.69)</u>
Weighted-average shares outstanding	<u>57,071</u>	<u>48,519</u>	<u>53,394</u>	<u>48,505</u>
Diluted per share information:				
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 0.07</u>	<u>\$ (0.21)</u>	<u>\$ (0.22)</u>	<u>\$ (0.69)</u>
Weighted-average shares outstanding	<u>57,072</u>	<u>48,519</u>	<u>53,394</u>	<u>48,505</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)
(in thousands except for number of shares)

	Class A Common Stock		Common Stock		Accumulated Deficit	Class A Treasury Stock		Common Treasury Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		
Balance at December 31, 2009	7,331,574	\$ 15,321	47,529,502	\$ 453,824	\$ (303,698)	(1,578,554)	\$ (22,398)	(4,654,750)	\$ (40,115)	\$ (9,314)	\$ 93,620
Net income	—	—	—	—	1,299	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gain on derivatives, net of income tax	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3,870	—
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,169
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	—	(12,793)	—	—	—	—	—	(12,793)
Issuance of common stock:											
Exchange for preferred stock	—	—	8,500,000	25,518	—	—	—	—	—	—	25,518
401(k) plan	—	—	10,489	23	—	—	—	—	—	—	23
Stock-based compensation	—	—	—	274	—	—	—	—	—	—	274
Balance at September 30, 2010	<u>7,331,574</u>	<u>\$ 15,321</u>	<u>56,039,991</u>	<u>\$ 479,639</u>	<u>\$ (315,192)</u>	<u>(1,578,554)</u>	<u>\$ (22,398)</u>	<u>(4,654,750)</u>	<u>\$ (40,115)</u>	<u>\$ (5,444)</u>	<u>\$ 111,811</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)
(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Operating activities		
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,299	\$ (21,088)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	23,401	24,538
Amortization of intangible assets	362	440
Amortization of deferred loan costs	1,333	250
Amortization of notes' original issue discount	564	—
Amortization of restricted stock awards	174	185
Amortization of stock option awards	100	859
Write-off loan acquisition costs from early extinguishment of debt	349	8,352
Payment of long-term facility fee, net of accrual	(7,168)	—
Amortization of program broadcast rights	11,438	11,353
Payments on program broadcast obligations	(11,590)	(11,483)
Common stock contributed to 401(k) Plan	23	141
Deferred income taxes	(551)	(12,296)
Gain on disposal of assets, net	(609)	(4,455)
Pension expense net of contributions	(546)	(371)
Other	(661)	(454)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Receivables and other current assets	(163)	6,499
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	3,082	(7,894)
Accrued interest	3,902	10,862
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>24,739</u>	<u>5,438</u>
Investing activities		
Purchases of property and equipment	(10,478)	(13,683)
Proceeds from asset sales	275	15
Equipment transactions related to spectrum reallocation, net	(179)	75
Payments on acquisition-related liabilities	(533)	(613)
Other	(1)	260
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(10,916)</u>	<u>(13,946)</u>
Financing activities		
Proceeds from borrowings on long-term debt	358,010	—
Repayments of borrowings on long-term debt	(304,525)	(6,551)
Deferred loan costs	(13,071)	(7,390)
Dividends paid, net of accreted preferred dividend	(14,892)	—
Redemption of preferred stock	(60,693)	—
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	25,518	—
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(9,653)</u>	<u>(13,941)</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash	4,170	(22,449)
Cash at beginning of period	16,000	30,649
Cash at end of period	<u>\$ 20,170</u>	<u>\$ 8,200</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009, which was derived from the audited financial statements as of December 31, 2009 of Gray Television, Inc. (“we”, “us”, “our”, “Gray” or the “Company”) and our accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the period ended September 30, 2010 have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, such financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In our opinion, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair statement have been included. Our operations consist of one reportable segment. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (the “2009 Form 10-K”). Operating results for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any future interim period or for the year ending December 31, 2010.

Seasonality

Broadcast advertising revenues are generally highest in the second and fourth quarters each year, due in part to increases in advertising in the spring and in the period leading up to and including the holiday season. In addition, broadcast advertising revenues are generally higher during even numbered years due to spending related to various political campaigns and issues, which spending typically is heaviest during the fourth quarter.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Our actual results could differ from these estimates. Estimates are used for the allowance for doubtful accounts in receivables, valuation of goodwill and intangible assets, amortization of program rights and intangible assets, stock-based compensation, pension costs, income taxes, employee medical insurance claims, useful lives of property and equipment, contingencies and litigation.

Earnings Per Share

We compute basic earnings per share by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the relevant period. The weighted-average number of common shares outstanding does not include unvested restricted shares. These shares, although classified as issued and outstanding, are considered contingently returnable until the restrictions lapse and are not included in the basic earnings per share calculation until the shares are vested. Diluted earnings per share is computed by including all potentially dilutive common shares issuable, including restricted stock and stock options in the diluted weighted-average shares outstanding calculation.

[Table of Contents](#)

1. Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Earnings Per Share (Continued)

The following table reconciles basic weighted-average shares outstanding to diluted weighted-average shares outstanding for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Weighted-average shares outstanding — basic	57,071	48,519	53,394	48,505
Stock options and restricted stock	1	—	—	—
Weighted-average shares outstanding — diluted	<u>57,072</u>	<u>48,519</u>	<u>53,394</u>	<u>48,505</u>

For periods in which we reported losses, all potentially dilutive common shares are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share, since their inclusion would be antidilutive. Securities that could potentially dilute earnings per share, but which were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have been antidilutive for the periods presented, are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Potentially dilutive common shares outstanding at end of period:				
Employee stock options	1,036	1,921	1,036	1,921
Unvested restricted stock	66	100	66	100
Total	1,102	2,021	1,102	2,021
Common stock equivalents included in diluted weighted-average shares outstanding	(1)	—	—	—
Potentially dilutive securities excluded from diluted weighted-average shares outstanding	<u>1,101</u>	<u>2,021</u>	<u>1,102</u>	<u>2,021</u>

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Our accumulated other comprehensive loss balances as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 consist of adjustments to our pension and derivative liability as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Accumulated balances of items included in accumulated other comprehensive loss:		
Cumulative loss on derivatives, net of income tax	\$ —	\$ (3,870)
Pension liability adjustments, net of income tax	(5,444)	(5,444)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	<u>\$ (5,444)</u>	<u>\$ (9,314)</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

1. Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost. Depreciation is computed principally by the straight-line method. Buildings, towers, improvements and equipment are generally depreciated over estimated useful lives of approximately 35 years, 20 years, 10 years and 5 years, respectively. Maintenance, repairs and minor replacements are charged to operations as incurred; and major replacements and betterments are capitalized. The cost of any assets sold or retired and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts at the time of disposition, and any resulting profit or loss is reflected in income or expense for the period.

The following table lists components of property and equipment by major category (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Property and equipment:		
Land	\$ 23,052	\$ 23,046
Buildings and improvements	51,726	51,606
Equipment	299,680	291,682
	374,458	366,334
Accumulated depreciation	(238,794)	(218,242)
Total property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 135,664</u>	<u>\$ 148,092</u>

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The following accounting pronouncements were recently issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") and we consider them relevant to our operations and the preparation of our financial reports.

In February 2010, the FASB issued FASB Accounting Standards Update 2010-09, *Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements*. Topic 855 removes the requirement for a U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filer to disclose a date in both issued and revised financial statements. Revised financial statements include financial statements revised as a result of either correction of an error or retrospective application of U.S. GAAP. This update was effective upon issuance for Gray. Our adoption of this update did not have a significant impact upon our financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued FASB Accounting Standards Update 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*. This update provides amendments to Topic 820 that provide for more robust disclosures about the (1) different classes of assets and liabilities measured at fair value, (2) valuation techniques and inputs used, (3) activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, and (4) transfers between Levels 1, 2, and 3. This update is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 and we adopted this update on January 1, 2010. Our adoption of this update did not have a significant impact upon our financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

2. Long-term Debt and Accrued Facility Fee

Our long-term debt and long-term accrued facility fee balances are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Long-term debt including current portion:		
Senior credit facility excluding long-term accrued facility fee	\$ 487,283	\$ 791,809
10 ¹ / ₂ % senior secured second lien notes	365,000	—
Total long-term debt at liquidation value	852,283	791,809
Less unamortized discount on 10 ¹ / ₂ % senior secured second lien notes	(6,426)	—
Total long-term debt at recorded value	845,857	791,809
Long-term accrued facility fee	11,139	18,307
Total long-term debt and accrued facility fee at recorded value	<u>\$ 856,996</u>	<u>\$ 810,116</u>
Borrowing ability under our senior credit facility	40,000	31,681
First lien leverage ratio as defined in our senior credit facility:		
Actual (1)	5.10	na
Maximum allowed (1)	7.00	na

(1) The Company was not required to comply with this ratio prior to June 30, 2010.

Senior Credit Facility

Excluding accrued interest, the amount outstanding under our senior credit facility as of September 30, 2010 was \$498.4 million comprised of a term loan balance of \$487.3 million and a long-term accrued facility fee of \$11.1 million. Excluding accrued interest, the amount outstanding under our senior credit facility as of December 31, 2009 was \$810.1 million comprised of a term loan balance of \$791.8 million and a long-term accrued facility fee of \$18.3 million. Our long-term accrued facility fee is due and payable on December 31, 2014 coincident with the maturity date of our term loan. Under the revolving loan portion of our senior credit facility, the maximum borrowing availability, subject to covenant restrictions, was \$40.0 million and \$50.0 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The amount that we can draw under our revolving loan is limited by the restrictive covenants in our senior credit facility. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we could have drawn \$40.0 million and \$31.7 million, respectively, of the maximum availability under the revolving loan. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants required under our debt agreements.

Amendment of Senior Credit Facility and Issuance of 10¹/₂% senior secured second lien notes due 2015 (the “Notes”)

Effective as of March 31, 2010, we amended our existing senior credit facility to provide for, among other things: (i) an increase in the maximum total net leverage ratio covenant under the senior credit facility through March 30, 2011 and (ii) a potential issuance of capital stock and/or senior or subordinated debt securities, which could include securities with a second lien security interest (the “Replacement Debt”). This amendment to the senior credit facility also reduced the revolving loan commitment under the senior credit facility from \$50.0 million to \$40.0 million.

Pursuant to this amendment, from March 31, 2010 and until the date we completed an offering of Replacement Debt resulting in the repayment of not less than \$200.0 million of our term loan outstanding under the senior credit facility (which offering was completed on April 29, 2010), (i) we were required to pay an annual incentive fee equal to 2.0%, which fee was eliminated upon the consummation of such offering and repayment, (ii) the annual facility fee remained at 3.0%, and (iii) we remained subject to a maximum total net leverage ratio, which ratio, following such

2. Long-term Debt and Accrued Facility Fee (Continued)

Amendment of Senior Credit Facility and Issuance of 10¹/₂% senior secured second lien notes due 2015 (the “Notes”)(Continued)

repayment, was replaced by a first lien leverage test, as described in the following paragraph. In addition, from and after such repayment, we were required to comply with a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 0.90x to 1.0x. Following the repayment on April 29, 2010 of \$300.0 million of our term loan outstanding under our senior credit facility, our annual facility fee was reduced to 0.75% per year with a potential for further reductions in future periods.

The amendment also provided that upon the completion of an offering of Replacement Debt that resulted in the repayment of not less than \$200.0 million of our term loan outstanding under the senior credit facility, we would be, from the date of such repayment, subject to a maximum first lien leverage ratio covenant, which would replace our maximum total leverage ratio covenant. The covenant would range from 7.5x to 6.5x, depending upon the amount of any such repayment.

On April 29, 2010, we issued \$365.0 million aggregate principal amount of Notes. The Notes constituted “Replacement Debt” under the senior credit facility. The Notes were priced at 98.085% of par, resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of \$358.0 million. The Notes mature on June 29, 2015. Interest accrues on the Notes from April 29, 2010, and interest is payable semi-annually, on May 1 and November 1 of each year. The first interest payment date was November 1, 2010. We may redeem some or all of the Notes at any time after November 1, 2012 at specified redemption prices. We may also redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes using the proceeds from certain equity offerings completed before November 1, 2012. In addition, we may redeem some or all of the Notes at any time prior to November 1, 2012 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus a make whole premium, and accrued and unpaid interest. If we sell certain of our assets or experience specific kinds of changes of control, we must offer to repurchase the Notes.

The Notes and the guarantees thereof are secured by a second priority lien on substantially all of the assets owned by Gray and its subsidiary guarantors, including, among other things, all present and future shares of capital stock, equipment, owned real property, leaseholds and fixtures, in each case subject to certain exceptions and customary permitted liens (the “Notes Collateral”). The Notes Collateral also secures obligations under the Company’s senior credit facility, subject to certain exceptions and permitted liens. The Company used a portion of the net proceeds from the sale of Notes to repay \$300.0 million in principal amount of term loans outstanding under its senior credit facility, to repay interest thereon and to pay certain fees due thereunder.

[Table of Contents](#)**2. Long-term Debt and Accrued Facility Fee (Continued)***Amendment of Senior Credit Facility and Issuance of 10¹/₂% senior secured second lien notes due 2015 (the "Notes") (Continued)*

A summary of certain significant terms contained in our senior credit facility (i) before the March 31, 2010 amendment, (ii) as so amended, and (iii) as amended and after giving effect to the issuance of Notes and related repayment of \$300.0 million in principal amount of term loans outstanding under the senior credit facility is as follows:

Description	Prior to Amendment on March 31, 2010	As Amended and Prior to Issuance of Notes and Related Repayment of the Term Loan	As Amended and After Issuance of Notes and Related Repayment of the Term Loan
Annual interest rate on outstanding term loan balance	LIBOR plus 3.50% or BASE plus 2.50%	Same	Same
Annual interest rate on outstanding revolving loan balance	LIBOR plus 3.50% or BASE plus 2.50%	Same	Same
Annual facility fee rate	3.00% with a potential for reduction in future periods.	3.00% with a potential for reduction in future periods.	0.75% with a potential for reduction in future periods.
Annual incentive fee rate	None	2.00%	None
Annual commitment fee on undrawn revolving loan balance	0.50%	Same	Same
Revolving loan commitment	\$50 million	\$40 million	\$40 million
Maximum total net leverage ratio at:			
March 31, 2010 through June 29, 2010	7.00x	9.00x	Replaced with a first lien leverage test as described above.
June 30, 2010 through September 29, 2010	6.50x	9.50x	
September 30, 2010 through March 30, 2011 March 31, 2011 and thereafter	6.50x 6.50x	9.75x 6.50x	
Minimum fixed charge coverage ratio	None	Same	0.90x to 1.00x
Maximum cash balance that can be deducted from total debt to calculate net debt in the total net leverage ratio (or first lien leverage test, as applicable)	\$10.0 million	Same	\$15.0 million

2. Long-term Debt and Accrued Facility Fee (Continued)

Amendment of Senior Credit Facility and Issuance of 10¹/₂% senior secured second lien notes due 2015 (the "Notes") (Continued)

Beginning April 30, 2010, all interest and fees accrued under the senior credit facility became payable in cash upon their respective due dates, with no portion of such accrued interest and fees being subject to deferral.

In order to obtain the foregoing amendment of our senior credit facility, we incurred loan issuance costs of approximately \$4.5 million, including legal and professional fees. We recorded a loss from early extinguishment of debt of \$0.3 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010. As of September 30, 2010, we had a deferred loan cost balance, net of accumulated amortization, of \$5.1 million related to the amendment of our senior credit facility.

In order to issue our Notes, we incurred issuance costs of approximately \$8.6 million, including legal and professional fees. As of September 30, 2010, we had a deferred loan cost balance, net of accumulated amortization, of \$7.9 million related to the issuance of our Notes.

Payment of Principal Balances Under our Senior Credit Facility Subsequent to September 30, 2010

Subsequent to September 30, 2010 but prior to the issuance of this quarterly report, we permanently pre-paid \$15.1 million of our outstanding obligations owed under our senior credit facility. We used cash from operations to fund this payment.

3. Derivatives

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

We are exposed to certain risks arising from business operations and economic conditions. We attempt to manage our exposure to a wide variety of business and operational risks principally through management of our core business activities. We attempt to manage economic risk, including interest rate, liquidity and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources and duration of our debt financing and, at certain times, the use of interest rate swap agreements. Specifically, we enter into interest rate swap agreements to manage interest rate exposure with the following objectives:

- managing current and forecasted interest rate risk while maintaining financial flexibility and solvency;
- proactively managing our cost of capital to ensure that we can effectively manage operations and execute our business strategy, thereby maintaining a competitive advantage and enhancing shareholder value; and
- complying with applicable covenant requirements and restrictions.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

In using interest rate derivatives, our objectives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage our exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish these objectives, we primarily use interest rate swap agreements as part of our interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for our making fixed-rate payments over the life of the applicable agreement, without exchange of the underlying notional amount. Under the terms of our senior credit facility, we were required to fix the interest rate on at least 50.0% of the outstanding balance thereunder through March 19, 2010. From and after such date, we are no longer required to fix interest rates on any amounts outstanding thereunder.

[Table of Contents](#)

During 2007, we entered into three swap agreements to convert \$465.0 million of our variable rate debt under our senior credit facility to fixed rate debt. These interest rate swap agreements expired on April 3, 2010, and they were our only derivatives in effect during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010. Upon entering into the swap agreements, we designated them as hedges of variability of our variable rate interest payments attributable to changes in three-month LIBOR, the designated interest rate. Therefore, these interest rate swap agreements were, prior to their respective expiration dates, considered cash flow hedges.

3. Derivatives (Continued)

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk (Continued)

Upon entering into these swap agreements, we documented our hedging relationships and our risk management objectives. Our swap agreements did not include written options. Our swap agreements were intended solely to modify the payments for a recognized liability from a variable rate to a fixed rate. Our swap agreements did not qualify for the short-cut method of accounting because the variable rate debt being hedged was pre-payable.

Hedge effectiveness was evaluated at the end of each quarter. We compared the notional amount, the variable interest rate and the settlement dates of the interest rate swap agreements to the hedged portion of the debt. Our swap agreements were highly effective at hedging our interest rate exposure.

During the period of each interest rate swap agreement, we recognized the swap agreements at their fair value as an asset or liability on our balance sheet. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of our interest rate swap agreements was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives was recognized directly in earnings.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to derivatives were reclassified to interest expense as the related interest payments were made on our variable rate debt.

Under these swap agreements, we received variable rate interest at LIBOR and paid fixed interest at an annual rate of 5.48%. The variable LIBOR was reset in three-month periods under the swap agreements. At our option, the variable LIBOR was reset in one month or three-month periods for the hedged portion of our variable rate debt.

The table below presents the fair value of our interest rate swap agreements as well as their classification on our balance sheet as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. We did not have any derivatives classified as assets as of September 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009. The fair values of the derivative instruments were estimated by obtaining quotations from the financial institutions that were counterparties to the instruments. The fair values were estimates of the net amount that we would have been required to pay on December 31, 2009 if the agreements had been transferred to other parties or cancelled on such dates. Amounts in the following table are in thousands.

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments

	As of September 30, 2010		As of December 31, 2009	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate swap agreements	NA	\$ —	Current liabilities	\$6,344

3. Derivatives (Continued)*Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk (Continued)*

The following table presents the effect of our derivative financial instruments on our consolidated statements of operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	Cash Flow Hedging Relationships for the			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Interest rate swap agreements:				
Liability at beginning of period	\$ —	\$ (17,602)	\$ (6,344)	\$ (24,611)
Effective portion of (losses) or gains recognized in other comprehensive loss	—	(417)	(5,936)	1,060
Effective portion of (losses) or gains recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss and reclassified into interest expense	—	5,060	12,280	11,288
Portion of gains (losses) representing the amount of hedge ineffectiveness and the amount excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness and recorded as an increase (decrease) in interest expense	—	513	—	(183)
Liability at end of period	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ (12,446)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ (12,446)</u>

For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, we recorded income on derivatives as other comprehensive income of \$3.9 million, net of a \$2.5 million income tax expense. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, we recorded income on derivatives as other comprehensive income of \$7.5 million, net of a \$4.8 million income tax expense.

Credit-risk Related Contingent Features

We attempt to manage our counterparty risk by entering into derivative instruments with global financial institutions that we believe present a low risk of credit loss resulting from nonperformance. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, we had not recorded a credit value adjustment related to our interest rate swap agreements. These agreements expired on April 3, 2010.

Our interest rate swap agreements incorporated the covenant provisions of our senior credit facility. Failure to comply with the covenant provisions of the senior credit facility would have resulted in our being in default of our obligations under our interest rate swap agreements.

4. Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is the price that market participants would pay or receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction. Fair value is also considered the exit price. We utilize market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated or generally unobservable. We utilize valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. These inputs are prioritized into a hierarchy that gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (“Level 1”) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs that require assumptions to measure fair value (“Level 3”). Level 2 inputs are those that are other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly (“Level 2”).

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the fair value of assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. The following table sets forth our financial agreements, which were accounted for at fair value, by level within the fair value hierarchy as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

	As of September 30, 2010			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
	As of December 31, 2009			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ —	\$ 6,344	\$ —	\$ 6,344

Fair values of our interest rate swap agreements were based on estimates provided by the counterparties. Valuation of these items entailed a significant amount of judgment.

4. Fair Value Measurement (Continued)

Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements

We have certain assets that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and are adjusted to fair value only when the carrying values exceed their fair values. Included in the following table are the significant categories of assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 and any impairment charges recorded for those assets in the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands).

Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements

	As of September 30, 2010				Impairment Loss for the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	2010	2009
Assets:						
Property and equipment, net	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 135,664	\$ 135,664	\$ —	\$ —
Program broadcast rights	—	—	14,566	14,566	185	122
Investment in broadcasting company	—	—	13,599	13,599	—	—
Broadcast licenses	—	—	818,981	818,981	—	—
Goodwill	—	—	170,522	170,522	—	—
Other intangible assets, net	—	—	954	954	—	—
Total	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,154,286	\$ 1,154,286	\$ 185	\$ 122

	As of December 31, 2009			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Property and equipment, net	—	—	\$ 148,092	\$ 148,092
Program broadcast rights	—	—	11,265	11,265
Investment in broadcasting company	—	—	13,599	13,599
Broadcast licenses	—	—	818,981	818,981
Goodwill	—	—	170,522	170,522
Other intangible assets, net	—	—	1,316	1,316
Total	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,163,775	\$ 1,163,775

Fair value of our property and equipment is estimated to be at least equal to our recorded cost net of accumulated depreciation and these values are reviewed by our engineers for impairment. Fair values of our investment in broadcasting company, program broadcast rights, broadcast licenses, goodwill and other intangible assets, net, are estimated to be at least equal to our recorded cost and are subjected to impairment testing. Our program broadcast rights impairment charges were recorded as a broadcast operating expense in the respective periods.

Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments

The estimated fair value of other financial instruments is determined using the best available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Interpreting market data to develop fair value estimates involves considerable judgment. The use of different market assumptions may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that we could realize in a current market exchange, or the value that ultimately will be realized upon maturity or disposition.

4. Fair Value Measurement (Continued)

Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments (Continued)

The carrying amounts of the following instruments approximate fair value, due to their short term to maturity: (i) accounts receivable, (ii) prepaid and other current assets, (iii) accounts payable, (iv) accrued employee compensation and benefits, (v) accrued interest, (vi) other accrued expenses, (vii) dividends payable, (viii) acquisition-related liabilities and (ix) deferred revenue.

The carrying amount of our long-term debt, including the current portion and long-term accrued facility fee, was \$857.0 million and \$810.1 million, respectively, and the fair value was \$843.5 million and \$704.8 million, respectively as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Fair value of our long-term debt, including the current portion and long-term accrued facility fee, is based on estimates provided by third party financial professionals as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

5. Preferred Stock

As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had 393 shares and 1,000 shares of Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock outstanding, respectively. The Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock has a liquidation value of \$100,000 per share, for a total liquidation value of \$39.3 million and \$100.0 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 and a recorded value of \$37.1 million and \$93.4 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The difference between the liquidation values and the recorded values was the unaccreted portion of the original issuance discount and issuance cost. Our accrued Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend balances as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were \$12.4 million and \$18.9 million, respectively.

On April 29, 2010, we repurchased approximately \$60.7 million in face amount of our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock, and \$14.9 million in accrued dividends thereon, in exchange for \$50.0 million in cash, using net proceeds from the sale of Notes, and 8.5 million shares of common stock.

Except for the payment of dividends on April 29, 2010, we have deferred the cash payment of our preferred stock dividends earned thereon since October 1, 2008. Because at least three consecutive cash dividend payments with respect to the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock remain unfunded, the dividend rate has increased from 15.0% per annum to 17.0% per annum. Our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend began accruing at 17.0% per annum on July 16, 2009 and will accrue at that rate as long as at least three consecutive cash dividend payments remain unfunded.

While any Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend payments are in arrears, we are prohibited from repurchasing, declaring and/or paying any cash dividend with respect to any equity securities having liquidation preferences equivalent to or junior in ranking to the liquidation preferences of the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock, including our common stock and Class A common stock. We can provide no assurances as to when any future cash payments will be made on any accumulated and unpaid Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock cash dividends presently in arrears or that become in arrears in the future. The Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock has no mandatory redemption date but, pursuant to its terms, is redeemable by the Company at any time and may be redeemed at the stockholders' option on or after June 30, 2015.

6. Retirement Plans

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for our pension plans for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 723	\$ 451	\$ 2,489	\$ 2,002
Interest cost	980	249	2,260	1,349
Expected return on plan assets	(738)	43	(1,693)	(960)
Loss amortization	256	520	753	725
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>\$ 1,221</u>	<u>\$ 1,263</u>	<u>\$ 3,809</u>	<u>\$ 3,116</u>

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, we contributed \$4.4 million to our pension plans. During the remainder of the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010 ("fiscal 2010"), we expect to contribute between \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million to our pension plans.

7. Stock-based Compensation

We recognize compensation expense for share-based payment awards made to our employees and directors including stock options and restricted shares under our 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan and the Directors' Restricted Stock Plan. The following table provides our stock-based compensation expense and related income tax benefit for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively (in thousands).

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Stock-based compensation expense, gross	\$ 57	\$ 346	\$ 274	\$ 1,044
Income tax benefit at our statutory rate associated with stock-based compensation	(22)	(135)	(107)	(407)
Stock-based compensation expense, net	<u>\$ 35</u>	<u>\$ 211</u>	<u>\$ 167</u>	<u>\$ 637</u>

[Table of Contents](#)**7. Stock-based Compensation (Continued)***Long-term Incentive Plan*

During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we did not grant any options to our employees to acquire our common stock. A summary of stock option activity related to our common stock for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows (option amounts in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2010		2009	
	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Common stock:				
Stock options outstanding — beginning of period	1,476	\$ 8.28	1,949	\$ 8.31
Options expired	(368)	\$ 9.99	(12)	\$12.37
Options forfeited	(72)	\$10.43	(16)	\$ 8.27
Stock options outstanding — end of period	<u>1,036</u>	\$ 7.52	<u>1,921</u>	\$ 8.29
Exercisable at end of period	1,036	\$ 7.52	638	\$ 9.88

As of September 30, 2010, the market price of our common stock was less than the exercise prices for all of our outstanding stock options. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, we did not have any options outstanding for our Class A common stock.

Directors' Restricted Stock Plan

During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we did not grant any shares of restricted stock to our directors. The unearned compensation resulting from previous grants is being amortized as an expense over the vesting period of the restricted common stock. The total amount of unearned compensation is equal to the market value of the shares at the date of grant, net of accumulated amortization.

The following table summarizes our non-vested restricted shares during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 and their weighted-average fair value per share as of their date of grant (shares in thousands):

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Fair Value Per Share
Restricted Stock:		
Non-vested common restricted shares, December 31, 2009	66	\$ 6.36
Granted	—	—
Vested	—	—
Non-vested common restricted shares, September 30, 2010	<u>66</u>	\$ 6.36

8. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings and Claims

From time to time, we are or may become subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the normal course of our business. In our opinion, the amount of ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these actions, will not materially affect our financial position. However, the outcome of any one or more matters cannot be predicted with certainty, and the unfavorable resolution of any matter could have a material adverse effect on us.

Sports Marketing Agreement

On October 12, 2004, the University of Kentucky (“UK”) awarded a sports marketing agreement jointly to us and IMG Worldwide, Inc. (“IMG”) (the “UK Agreement”). The UK Agreement commenced on April 16, 2005 and has an initial term of seven years with the option to extend for three additional years.

On July 1, 2006, the terms of the agreement between IMG and us were amended. As amended, the UK Agreement provides that we will share in profits in excess of certain amounts specified by the agreement, if any, but not losses. The agreement also provides that we will separately retain all local broadcast advertising revenue and pay all local broadcast expenses for activities under the agreement. Under the amended agreement, IMG agreed to make all license fee payments to UK. However, if IMG is unable to pay the license fee to UK, we will then be required to pay the unpaid portion of the license fee to UK. As of September 30, 2010, the aggregate license fee to be paid by IMG to UK over the remaining portion of the full ten-year term (including the optional three year extension) of the agreement is approximately \$41.6 million. If we make advances on behalf of IMG, IMG is required to reimburse us for the amount paid within 60 days after the close of each contract year, which ends on June 30th. IMG has also agreed to pay interest on any advance at a rate equal to the prime rate. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, we did not advance any amounts to UK on behalf of IMG under this agreement. As of September 30, 2010, we do not consider the risk of non-performance by IMG to be high.

9. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Our intangible assets are primarily comprised of network affiliations and broadcast licenses. We did not acquire any network affiliation agreements or broadcast licenses during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010. Upon renewal of such intangible assets, we expense all related fees as incurred. There were no triggering events that required a test of impairment of our goodwill or intangible assets during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010.

10. Income Taxes

For the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, our income tax expense (benefit) and effective tax rates were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 2,456	\$ (3,237)	\$ (592)	\$ (12,364)
Effective income tax rate	31%	37%	(84)%	37%

We estimate our income and differences between taxable income and recorded income on an annual basis. Our tax provision for each quarter is based upon these full year projections which are revised each reporting period. Separately in the three-month period ended September 30, 2010, we elected a change in filing status in a state tax jurisdiction. As a result of this change in filing status, a deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$0.9 million on the state net operating loss was no longer necessary and was released. Both our revision of taxable income and our filing election change reduced our effective tax rates for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Executive Overview

Introduction

The following analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of Gray Television, Inc. ("we", "us", "our", "Gray" or the "Company") should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in this report and our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 (the "2009 Form 10-K").

Overview

We are a television broadcast company operating 36 television stations serving 30 markets. Seventeen of our stations are affiliated with CBS Inc. ("CBS"), ten are affiliated with the National Broadcasting Corporation, Inc. ("NBC"), eight are affiliated with the American Broadcasting Corporation ("ABC"), and one is affiliated with FOX Entertainment Group, Inc. ("FOX"). Our 17 CBS-affiliated stations make us the largest independent owner of CBS affiliates in the United States. In addition, we currently operate 39 digital second channels including one affiliated with ABC, four affiliated with FOX, seven affiliated with CW, 18 affiliated with Twentieth Television, Inc. ("MyNetworkTV"), two affiliated with Universal Sports Network and seven local news/weather channels in certain of our existing markets. We created our digital second channels to better utilize our excess broadcast spectrum. The digital second channels are similar to our primary broadcast channels; however, our digital second channels are affiliated with networks different from those affiliated with our primary broadcast channels. Our combined TV station group reaches approximately 6.3% of total United States households.

Our operating revenues are derived primarily from broadcast and internet advertising and from other sources such as production of commercials, tower rentals, retransmission consent fees and management fees.

Broadcast advertising is sold for placement either preceding or following a television station's network programming and within local and syndicated programming. Broadcast advertising is sold in time increments and is priced primarily on the basis of a program's popularity among the specific audience an advertiser desires to reach, as measured by Nielsen. In addition, broadcast advertising rates are affected by the number of advertisers competing for the available time, the size and demographic makeup of the market served by the station and the availability of alternative advertising media in the market area. Broadcast advertising rates are the highest during the most desirable viewing hours, with corresponding reductions during other hours. The ratings of a local station affiliated with a major network can also be affected by ratings of network programming.

We sell internet advertising on our stations' websites. These advertisements are sold as banner advertisements on the websites, pre-roll advertisements or video and other types of advertisements.

Most advertising contracts are short-term and generally run only for a few weeks. Approximately 68.3% of the net revenues of our television stations for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 were generated from local advertising (including political advertising revenues), which is sold primarily by a station's sales staff directly to local accounts, and the remainder was represented primarily by national advertising, which is sold by a station's national advertising sales representatives. The stations generally pay commissions to advertising agencies on local, regional and national advertising and the stations also pay commissions to the national sales representatives on national advertising, including certain political advertising.

Broadcast advertising revenues are generally highest in the second and fourth quarters each year, due in part to increases in advertising in the spring and in the period leading up to and including the holiday season. In addition, broadcast advertising revenues are generally higher during even numbered years due to increased spending by political candidates and special interest groups in advance of upcoming elections, which spending typically is heaviest during the fourth quarter of such years.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our primary broadcast operating expenses are employee compensation, related benefits and programming costs. In addition, broadcasting operations incur overhead expenses, such as maintenance, supplies, insurance, rent and utilities. A large portion of our operating expenses for broadcasting operations is fixed.

During the recent economic recession, many of our advertising customers reduced their advertising spending, which in turn reduced our revenue in 2009. However in both the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010, our advertising revenues have increased over 2009 levels, which we believe is a result of an improving economy. Traditionally, automotive dealers have accounted for a significant portion of our advertising revenue and they have increased their advertising spending significantly in the 2010 period as compared to 2009. In even numbered years, there are a relatively greater number of elections than in odd numbered years. Consistent therewith, in 2010, our political advertising revenue has increased over the comparable 2009 periods due to increased advertising by political candidates and special interest groups. Our non-advertising revenue, such as retransmission consent revenue and consulting revenue, has also increased in 2010 periods as compared to the comparable 2009 periods. Notwithstanding these increases, our advertising revenues remain under pressure, to an extent, from the internet as a competitor for advertising spending. We continue to enhance and market our internet websites in order to generate additional revenue.

As a result of our efforts to improve our operations and in what we believe to be an improving economic environment, our operating income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 has improved. Please see our “Results of Operations” and “Liquidity and Capital Resources” sections below for further discussion of our operating results.

Revenue

Set forth below are the principal types of revenues, less agency commissions, earned by us for the periods indicated and the percentage contribution of each to our total revenues (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2010		2009		2010		2009	
	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total
Revenue:								
Local	\$ 44,278	51.9%	\$ 41,135	61.9%	\$ 133,675	57.8%	\$ 123,693	64.1%
National	14,294	16.7%	12,783	19.2%	42,036	18.2%	38,031	19.7%
Internet	3,329	3.9%	2,925	4.4%	9,525	4.1%	8,200	4.3%
Political	16,042	18.8%	3,071	4.6%	24,413	10.5%	5,022	2.6%
Retransmission consent	4,658	5.5%	4,312	6.5%	13,967	6.0%	11,911	6.2%
Production and other	2,022	2.4%	1,735	2.6%	5,808	2.5%	5,205	2.7%
Network compensation	172	0.2%	172	0.3%	389	0.2%	482	0.2%
Consulting revenue	550	0.6%	313	0.5%	1,650	0.7%	313	0.2%
Total	<u>\$ 85,345</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 66,446</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 231,463</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 192,857</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 (“2010 three-month period”) Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 (“2009 three-month period”)

Revenue. Total revenue increased \$18.9 million, or 28%, to \$85.3 million in the 2010 three-month period due primarily to increased political advertising revenue and also due to increases in local, national and internet advertising revenues, retransmission consent revenue, production and other revenue and consulting revenue. Local advertising revenues increased approximately \$3.1 million, or 8%, to \$44.3 million. National advertising revenues increased approximately \$1.5 million, or 12%, to \$14.3 million. Internet advertising revenues increased \$0.4 million, or 14%, to \$3.3 million. Local, national and internet advertising revenue increased due to increased

[Table of Contents](#)

spending by advertisers in an improving economic environment. Advertising revenue categories by customer type, excluding political advertising, demonstrating significant improvement during the three-month period ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three-month period ended September 30, 2009 were: automotive, increasing 26%; medical services, increasing 18%; communications, increasing 11%; and financial and insurance services, increasing 11%. Revenue categories reflecting period over period declines were: paid programming, decreasing 10%; restaurants, decreasing 10%; and home improvement, decreasing 4%. Political advertising revenue increased \$13.0 million, or 422%, to \$16.0 million reflecting increased advertising from political candidates during the “on year” of the two-year political advertising cycle. Retransmission consent revenue increased \$0.3 million, or 8%, to \$4.7 million due to the improved terms of our retransmission contracts compared to those in effect during the 2009 three-month period. We earned consulting revenue of \$0.6 million due to our agreement with Young Broadcasting, Inc. Production and other revenue increased \$0.3 million, or 17%, to \$2.0 million.

Broadcast expenses. Broadcast expenses (before depreciation, amortization and gain on disposal of assets) increased \$3.6 million, or 8%, to \$49.8 million in the 2010 three-month period, due primarily to an increase in payroll expense of \$3.2 million and national sales representation expense of \$0.9 million, partially offset by a decrease in employee benefit expense of \$0.3 million. Payroll expense increased primarily due to increases in sales and certain other accrued incentive compensation due to the increase in advertising revenue discussed above. National sales representation fees earned by third parties also increased due to increased advertising revenue. National sales representation expense is equal to a certain percentage of our national sales revenue (including certain political advertising revenue) and increases as this revenue increases. Employee benefit expense decreased due to a lower amount of health care claims. As of September 30, 2010 and 2009, we employed 2,164 and 2,202 total employees, respectively, in our broadcast operations. Since December 31, 2007, we have decreased the total number of employees in our broadcast operations by 261 persons, a decrease of 10.8%.

Corporate and administrative expenses. Corporate and administrative expenses (before depreciation, amortization and gain on disposal of assets) increased \$0.1 million, or 2%, to \$3.4 million in the 2010 three-month period. The increase was due primarily to an increase in payroll expense of \$0.5 million, partially offset by a decrease in relocation expense of \$0.2 million and consulting expense of \$0.1 million. The increase in payroll expense was due primarily to an increase of \$0.7 million in accrued bonus compensation for certain executive officers, resulting from the revenues discussed above, partially offset by a decrease in non-cash stock-based compensation expense of \$0.3 million. We recorded non-cash stock-based compensation expense during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 of \$57,000 and \$346,000, respectively. Non-cash stock-based compensation expense decreased due to the majority of our outstanding stock options becoming fully vested. Relocation expense decreased due to the relocation of certain employees in 2009 three-month period, while no similar relocations took place in the 2010 three-month period. Consulting expense decreased due to the expiration, on December 31, 2009, of a consulting agreement with our former Chairman.

Depreciation. Depreciation of property and equipment decreased \$0.5 million, or 7%, to \$7.5 million during the 2010 three-month period. The decrease in depreciation was the result of reduced capital expenditures in recent years compared to that of prior years. As a result, more assets acquired in prior years have become fully depreciated than have been purchased in recent years.

Gain on disposal of assets. Gain on disposal of assets decreased \$1.8 million to \$0.1 million during the 2010 three-month period as compared to the comparable period in the prior year. The Federal Communications Commission (the “FCC”) has mandated that all broadcasters operating microwave facilities on certain frequencies in the 2 GHz band relocate their respective signals to other frequencies and upgrade their equipment. The spectrum being vacated by broadcasters has been reallocated to third parties who, as part of the overall FCC-mandated spectrum reallocation project, must provide affected broadcasters with new digital microwave replacement equipment at no cost to the broadcaster and also reimburse them for certain associated out-of-pocket expenses. During the three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recognized gains of \$0.1 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, on the disposal of assets associated with this spectrum reallocation project. During the three-month period ended September 30, 2009, we recorded \$0.8 million in losses upon retirement of analog equipment during our conversion to digital broadcasting.

Table of Contents

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$2.7 million, or 14%, to \$16.7 million for the 2010 three-month period. This decrease was attributable to a decrease in our average interest rates, partially offset by an increase in our average debt balance. On April 29, 2010, we issued \$365.0 million of Notes. The Notes were issued at a discount to yield 11.0% per annum. We used \$300.0 million of the proceeds from the issuance of the Notes to reduce the balance outstanding under our senior credit facility. As a result of this transaction, our average debt balance increased but we were able to reduce our interest rate on our total debt outstanding. Also, our interest rate swap agreements expired in April 2010 which further reduced our average interest rate. Our average debt balance was \$874.3 million and \$795.2 million during the 2010 and 2009 three-month periods, respectively. The average interest rates, including the effects of our interest rate swap agreements, on our total debt balances was approximately 6.8% and 9.6% during the 2010 and 2009 three-month periods, respectively.

Income tax expense or benefit. We recognized an income tax expense of \$2.5 million in the 2010 three-month period compared to an income tax benefit of \$3.2 million in the 2009 three-month period. For the three-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, our effective income tax rate was 31% and 37%, respectively. We estimate our income and differences between taxable income and recorded income on an annual basis. Our tax provision for each quarter is based upon these full year projections which are revised each reporting period. Separately during the three-month period ended September 30, 2010, we elected a change in filing status in a state tax jurisdiction. As a result of this change in filing status, a deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$0.9 million on the state net operating loss was no longer necessary and was released. Both our revision of taxable income and our filing election change reduced our effective tax rates for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010. We currently estimate that our effective income tax rate for the year ending December 31, 2010 will be approximately 35%.

Preferred stock dividends. Preferred dividends decreased \$2.7 million, or 60%, to \$1.8 million in the 2010 three-month period compared to the 2009 three-month period. On April 29, 2010, we repurchased approximately \$60.7 million in face amount of our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock. We did not have a similar transaction in the 2009 three-month period. As a result of this transaction, fewer shares of our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock were outstanding during the 2010 three-month period as compared to the 2009 three-month period. The decrease in the preferred dividend was due to a reduction of the number of shares outstanding.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 (“2010 nine-month period”) Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 (“2009 nine-month period”)

Revenue. Total revenue increased \$38.6 million, or 20%, to \$231.5 million in the 2010 nine-month period due primarily to increased political advertising revenue and also due to increased local, national and internet advertising revenue, retransmission consent revenue, production and other revenue and consulting revenue. These increases were partially offset by decreased network compensation revenue. Local advertising revenue increased approximately \$10.0 million, or 8%, to \$133.7 million. National advertising revenue increased approximately \$4.0 million, or 11%, to \$42.0 million. Internet advertising revenue increased \$1.3 million, or 16%, to \$9.5 million. Local, national and internet advertising revenue increased due to increased spending by advertisers in an improving economic environment. Advertising revenue categories by customer type, excluding political advertising, demonstrating significant improvement during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 compared to the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 were: automotive, increasing 38%; financial and insurance services, increasing 16%; medical services, increasing 16%; supermarkets, increasing 12%; and home improvement, increasing 5%. Revenue categories reflecting period over period declines were: paid programming, decreasing 17%; communications, decreasing 10%; and restaurants, decreasing 8%. Net advertising revenue associated with the broadcast of the 2010 Super Bowl on our seventeen CBS-affiliated stations approximated \$860,000 which was an increase from our approximately \$750,000 of Super Bowl revenues earned in 2009 on our ten NBC-affiliated stations. In addition, results in the 2010 nine-month period benefited from approximately \$2.8 million of net revenues earned from the broadcast of the 2010 Winter Olympic Games on our NBC-affiliated stations. There was no corresponding broadcast of Olympic Games during the 2009 nine-month period. Political advertising revenue increased \$19.4 million, or 386%, to \$24.4 million, reflecting increased advertising from political candidates during the “on year” of the two-year political advertising cycle. Retransmission consent revenue increased \$2.1 million, or 17%, to \$14.0 million due to the improved terms of our retransmission contracts compared to those in effect during

[Table of Contents](#)

the 2009 nine-month period. Production and other revenue increased \$0.6 million, or 12%, to \$5.8 million. We earned consulting revenue of \$1.7 million from our agreement with Young Broadcasting, Inc.

Broadcast expenses. Broadcast expenses (before depreciation, amortization and gain on disposal of assets) increased \$6.5 million, or 5%, to \$143.5 million in the 2010 nine-month period, due primarily to increases in payroll expense of \$5.2 million, national sales representation expense of \$1.4 million, employee benefit expense of \$0.1 million and market research expense of \$0.2 million, partially offset by decreases in electricity expense of \$0.4 million and bad debt expense of \$0.4 million. Payroll expense increased primarily due to increases in sales and certain other accrued incentive compensation of \$4.7 million due to the increase in advertising revenue discussed above. National sales representation expense is equal to a certain percentage of our national sales revenue (including certain political advertising revenue) and increases as this revenue increases. Employee benefit expense increased due to an increase in pension expense of \$0.7 million which was largely offset by a decrease in health care expense of \$0.6 million. Bad debt expense decreased primarily due to an improvement in the quality of our accounts receivable balances. We attribute this to an improving economy and an increased focus on collections. Electricity expenses decreased due to the discontinuance of our analog broadcasts.

Corporate and administrative expenses. Corporate and administrative expenses (before depreciation, amortization and gain on disposal of assets) decreased \$0.8 million, or 7%, to \$10.1 million for the 2010 nine-month period. The decrease was due primarily to a decrease in relocation expense of \$0.6 million, consulting expense of \$0.4 million and legal expense of \$0.5 million partially offset by an increase in payroll expense of \$1.0 million. Relocation expense decreased due to the relocation of certain employees in 2009 nine-month period, while no similar relocations took place in the 2010 nine-month period. Consulting expense decreased due to the expiration, on December 31, 2009, of a consulting agreement with our former Chairman. Legal expense decreased due to a decrease in the number of retransmission consent revenue contracts being negotiated in the current period compared to the comparable period of the prior year. The increase in payroll expense was due primarily to an increase in bonus compensation expense partially offset by a decrease in non-cash stock-based compensation. Bonus compensation expense increased due to the payment of \$1.05 million in bonuses to certain executive officers. In addition, bonus compensation expense increased \$0.7 million reflecting the accrual of certain incentive compensation for certain executive officers in the third quarter of 2010 resulting from the increase in revenues discussed above. No bonus payments had been made to or accrued for these individuals in 2009. Non-cash stock-based compensation expense decreased \$0.8 million due to the majority of our outstanding stock options becoming fully vested. We recorded non-cash stock-based compensation expense during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 of \$274,000 and \$1,044,000, respectively.

Depreciation. Depreciation of property and equipment decreased \$1.1 million, or 5%, to \$23.4 million for the 2010 nine-month period. The decrease in depreciation was the result of reduced capital expenditures in recent years compared to that of prior years. As a result, more assets acquired in prior years have become fully depreciated than have been purchased in recent years.

Gain on disposal of assets. Gain on disposal of assets decreased \$3.8 million to \$0.6 million during the 2010 nine-month period as compared to the comparable period in the prior year. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we recognized gains of \$0.5 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, on the disposal of assets associated with the spectrum reallocation project. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, we recorded \$1.5 million in losses upon retirement of analog equipment during our conversion to digital broadcasting.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased \$4.2 million, or 8%, to \$53.7 million for the 2010 nine-month period. This increase was attributable to an increase in average debt balance and an increase in our average interest rates. We amended our senior credit facility on each of March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010. Upon amending the senior credit facility on March 31, 2009, our interest rates increased. Upon amending our senior credit facility on March 31, 2010, our interest rate increased further until April 29, 2010, when we issued the Notes and repaid a portion of the amount outstanding under our senior credit facility. Although the interest rate on our Notes is higher than that of borrowings under our senior credit facility, the prepayment of \$300.0 million of the amount outstanding under the senior credit facility resulted in the reduction of the interest rate on the remaining outstanding balance under the senior credit facility, which resulted in a lower total average interest rate beginning April 29, 2010. Our interest rate swap agreements expired in April 2010. These expirations had a further positive effect upon our

[Table of Contents](#)

average interest rate. Although these events resulted in reductions in our total interest rate, they occurred too late in the period to lower our total average interest rate for the nine-month period compared to the comparable period of the prior year. Our average debt balance was \$845.4 million and \$797.5 million during the 2010 nine-month period and the 2009 nine-month period, respectively. The average interest rates on our total debt balances was 8.2% and 8.0% during the 2010 and 2009 nine-month periods, respectively. These interest rates include the effects of our interest rate swap agreements.

Loss from early extinguishment of debt. On March 31, 2010, we amended our senior credit facility. In order to obtain this amendment, we incurred loan issuance costs of approximately \$4.5 million, including legal and professional fees. These fees were funded from our cash balances. In connection with this transaction, we reported a loss from early extinguishment of debt of \$0.3 million in the 2010 nine-month period. On March 31, 2009, we amended our senior credit facility. In order to obtain this amendment, we incurred loan issuance costs of approximately \$7.5 million including legal and professional fees. In connection with this transaction, we reported a loss from early extinguishment of debt of \$8.4 million in the 2009 nine-month period.

Income tax expense or benefit. We recognized an income tax benefit of \$0.6 million in the 2010 nine-month period compared to an income tax benefit of \$12.4 million in the 2009 nine-month period. The effective income tax rate was (84)% for the 2010 nine-month period and 37% in the 2009 nine-month period. We estimate our income and differences between taxable income and recorded income on an annual basis. Our tax provision for each quarter is based upon these full year projections which are revised each reporting period. Separately during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, we elected a change in filing status in a state tax jurisdiction. As a result of this change in filing status, a deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$0.9 million on the state net operating loss was no longer necessary and was released. Both our revision of taxable income and our filing election change reduced our effective tax rates for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010. We currently estimate that our effective income tax rate for the year ending December 31, 2010 will be approximately 35%.

Preferred stock dividends. Preferred stock dividends increased \$0.2 million, or 2%, to \$12.8 million. On April 29, 2010, we repurchased approximately \$60.7 million in face amount of our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock. As a result of this transaction, we recognized \$3.8 million of the unaccreted portion of the original issuance costs and discount allocated to the repurchased \$60.7 million of Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock as a dividend. We did not have a similar transaction in the 2009 nine-month period.

[Table of Contents](#)**Liquidity and Capital Resources***General*

The following table presents data that we believe is helpful in evaluating our liquidity and capital resources (dollars in thousands).

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 24,739	\$ 5,438
Net cash used in investing activities	(10,916)	(13,946)
Net cash used in financing activities	(9,653)	(13,941)
Increase (decrease) in cash	<u>\$ 4,170</u>	<u>\$ (22,449)</u>

	As of	
	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Cash	\$ 20,170	\$ 16,000
Long-term debt including current portion	\$845,857	\$791,809
Long-term accrued facility fee	\$ 11,139	\$ 18,307
Preferred stock, excluding unamortized original issue discount	\$ 37,063	\$ 93,386
Borrowing availability under our senior credit facility	\$ 40,000	\$ 31,681

First lien leverage ratio as defined under our senior credit facility:

Actual (1)	5.10	na
Maximum allowed (1)	7.00	na

(1) The Company was not required to comply with this ratio prior to June 30, 2010.

Senior Credit Facility

Excluding accrued interest, the amount outstanding under our senior credit facility as of September 30, 2010 was \$498.4 million comprised of a term loan balance of \$487.3 million and a long-term accrued facility fee of \$11.1 million. Excluding accrued interest, the amount outstanding under our senior credit facility as of December 31, 2009 was \$810.1 million comprised of a term loan balance of \$791.8 million and a long-term accrued facility fee of \$18.3 million. Our long-term accrued facility fee is due and payable December 31, 2014 coincident with the maturity date of our term loan. Under the revolving loan portion of our senior credit facility, the maximum borrowing availability, subject to covenant restrictions, was \$40.0 million and \$50.0 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The amount that we can draw under our revolving loan is limited by the restrictive covenants in our senior credit facility. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we could have drawn \$40.0 million and \$31.7 million, respectively, of the maximum availability under the revolving loan. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants required under our debt agreements.

Amendment of Senior Credit Facility and Issuance of 10¹/₂% senior secured second lien notes due 2015 (the "Notes")

Effective as of March 31, 2010, we amended our existing senior credit facility to provide for, among other things: (i) an increase in the maximum total net leverage ratio covenant under the senior credit facility through March 30, 2011 and (ii) a potential issuance of capital stock and/or senior or subordinated debt securities, which could include securities with a second lien security interest (the "Replacement Debt"). This amendment to the senior credit facility also reduced the revolving loan commitment under the senior credit facility from \$50.0 million to \$40.0 million.

Pursuant to this amendment, from March 31, 2010 and until the date we completed an offering of Replacement Debt resulting in the repayment of not less than \$200.0 million of our term loan outstanding under the senior credit

Table of Contents

facility (which offering was completed on April 29, 2010), (i) we were required to pay an annual incentive fee equal to 2.0%, which fee was eliminated upon the consummation of such offering and repayment, (ii) the annual facility fee remained at 3.0%, and (iii) we remained subject to a maximum total net leverage ratio, which ratio, following such repayment, was replaced by a first lien leverage test, as described in the following paragraph. In addition, from and after such repayment, we were required to comply with a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 0.90x to 1.0x. Following the repayment on April 29, 2010 of \$300.0 million of our term loan outstanding under our senior credit facility, our annual facility fee was reduced to 0.75% per year with a potential for further reductions in future periods.

The amendment also provided that upon the completion of an offering of Replacement Debt that resulted in the repayment of not less than \$200.0 million of our term loan outstanding under the senior credit facility, we would be, from the date of such repayment, subject to a maximum first lien leverage ratio covenant, which would replace our maximum total leverage ratio covenant. The covenant would range from 7.5x to 6.5x, depending upon the amount of any such repayment.

On April 29, 2010, we issued \$365.0 million aggregate principal amount of Notes. The Notes constituted "Replacement Debt" under the senior credit facility. The Notes were priced at 98.085% of par, resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of \$358.0 million. The Notes mature on June 29, 2015. Interest accrues on the Notes from April 29, 2010, and interest is payable semi-annually, on May 1 and November 1 of each year. The first interest payment date was November 1, 2010. We may redeem some or all of the Notes at any time after November 1, 2012 at specified redemption prices. We may also redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes using the proceeds from certain equity offerings completed before November 1, 2012. In addition, we may redeem some or all of the Notes at any time prior to November 1, 2012 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus a make whole premium, and accrued and unpaid interest. If we sell certain of our assets or experience specific kinds of changes of control, we must offer to repurchase the Notes.

The Notes and the guarantees thereof are secured by a second priority lien on substantially all of the assets owned by Gray and its subsidiary guarantors, including, among other things, all present and future shares of capital stock, equipment, owned real property, leaseholds and fixtures, in each case subject to certain exceptions and customary permitted liens (the "Notes Collateral"). The Notes Collateral also secures obligations under the Company's senior credit facility, subject to certain exceptions and permitted liens. The Company used a portion of the net proceeds from the sale of Notes to repay \$300.0 million in principal amount of term loans outstanding under its senior credit facility, to repay interest thereon and to pay certain fees due thereunder.

Table of Contents

A summary of certain significant terms contained in our senior credit facility (i) before the March 31, 2010 amendment, (ii) as so amended, and (iii) as amended and after giving effect to the issuance of Notes and related repayment of \$300.0 million in principal amount of term loans outstanding under the senior credit facility is as follows:

Description	Prior to Amendment on March 31, 2010	As Amended and Prior to Issuance of Notes and Related Repayment of the Term Loan	As Amended and After Issuance of Notes and Related Repayment of the Term Loan
Annual interest rate on outstanding term loan balance	LIBOR plus 3.50% or BASE plus 2.50%	Same	Same
Annual interest rate on outstanding revolving loan balance	LIBOR plus 3.50% or BASE plus 2.50%	Same	Same
Annual facility fee rate	3.00% with a potential for reduction in future periods.	3.00% with a potential for reduction in future periods.	0.75% with a potential for reduction in future periods.
Annual incentive fee rate	None	2.00%	None
Annual commitment fee on undrawn revolving loan balance	0.50%	Same	Same
Revolving loan commitment	\$50 million	\$40 million	\$40 million
Maximum total net leverage ratio at:			
March 31, 2010 through June 29, 2010	7.00x	9.00x	Replaced with a first lien leverage test as described above.
June 30, 2010 through September 29, 2010	6.50x	9.50x	
September 30, 2010 through March 30, 2011	6.50x	9.75x	
March 31, 2011 and thereafter	6.50x	6.50x	
Minimum fixed charge coverage ratio	None	Same	
Maximum cash balance that can be deducted from total debt to calculate net debt in the total net leverage ratio (or first lien leverage test, as applicable)	\$10.0 million	Same	\$15.0 million

Beginning April 30, 2010, all interest and fees accrued under the senior credit facility became payable in cash upon their respective due dates, with no portion of such accrued interest and fees being subject to deferral.

In order to obtain the foregoing amendment of our senior credit facility, we incurred loan issuance costs of approximately \$4.5 million, including legal and professional fees. We recorded a loss from early extinguishment of debt of \$0.3 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010. As of September 30, 2010, we had a deferred loan cost balance, net of accumulated amortization, of \$5.1 million related to the amendment of our senior credit facility.

[Table of Contents](#)

In order to issue our Notes, we incurred issuance costs of approximately \$8.6 million, including legal and professional fees. As of September 30, 2010, we had a deferred loan cost balance, net of accumulated amortization, of \$7.9 million related to the issuance of our Notes.

Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock

As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had 393 shares and 1,000 shares of Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock outstanding, respectively. The Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock has a liquidation value of \$100,000 per share, for a total liquidation value of \$39.3 million and \$100.0 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 and a recorded value of \$37.1 million and \$93.4 million as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The difference between the liquidation values and the recorded values was the unaccreted portion of the original issuance discount and issuance cost. Our accrued Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend balances as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were \$12.4 million and \$18.9 million, respectively.

On April 29, 2010, we repurchased approximately \$60.7 million in face amount of our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock, and \$14.9 million in accrued dividends thereon, in exchange for \$50.0 million in cash, using net proceeds from the sale of Notes, and 8.5 million shares of common stock.

Except for the payment of dividends on April 29, 2010, we have deferred the cash payment of our preferred stock dividends earned thereon since October 1, 2008. Because at least three consecutive cash dividend payments with respect to the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock remain unfunded, the dividend rate has increased from 15.0% per annum to 17.0% per annum. Our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend began accruing at 17.0% per annum on July 16, 2009 and will accrue at that rate as long as at least three consecutive cash dividend payments remain unfunded.

While any Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend payments are in arrears, we are prohibited from repurchasing, declaring and/or paying any cash dividend with respect to any equity securities having liquidation preferences equivalent to or junior in ranking to the liquidation preferences of the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock, including our common stock and Class A common stock. We can provide no assurances as to when any future cash payments will be made on any accumulated and unpaid Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock cash dividends presently in arrears or that become in arrears in the future. The Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock has no mandatory redemption date but, pursuant to its terms, is redeemable by the Company at any time and may be redeemed at the stockholders' option on or after June 30, 2015. We have deferred cash dividends on our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock and correspondingly suspended cash dividends on our common and Class A common stock to, among other things, reallocate cash resources and support our ability to pay interest costs and fees associated with our senior credit facility.

Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$24.7 million in the 2010 nine-month period compared to \$5.4 million in the 2009 nine-month period. The increase in cash provided by operations is primarily due to an increase in revenue and a decrease in corporate expenses, partially offset by an increase in broadcast expenses.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$10.9 million in the 2010 nine-month period compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$13.9 million for the 2009 nine-month period. The decrease in cash used in investing activities was largely due to decreased spending for equipment resulting from the completion of our transition to digital from analog broadcasting.

Net cash used in financing activities in the 2010 nine-month period was \$9.7 million. Net cash used in financing activities in the 2009 nine-month period was \$13.9 million. This decrease in cash used was due primarily to the net effects of our refinancing activities in the current year.

[Table of Contents](#)

Payment of Principal Balances Under our Senior Credit Facility Subsequent to September 30, 2010

Subsequent to September 30, 2010 but prior to the issuance of this quarterly report, we permanently pre-paid \$15.1 million of our outstanding obligations owed under our senior credit facility. We used cash from operations to fund this payment.

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures in the 2010 and 2009 nine-month periods were \$10.5 million and \$13.7 million, respectively. The 2010 nine-month period included, in part, less capital expenditures relating to the conversion of analog broadcasts to digital broadcasts as compared to the 2009 nine-month period. We anticipate that our capital expenditures for the remainder of 2010 will range between \$8.0 million and \$12.0 million reflecting an acceleration of our plans, due to competitive forces in our markets, to provide local studio broadcasts and syndicated programming playback in the high definition television format.

Other

We file a consolidated federal income tax return and such state or local tax returns as are required. Although we may earn taxable operating income in future years, as of September 30, 2010, we anticipate that through the use of our available loss carryforwards we will not pay significant amounts of federal or state income taxes in the next several years. However, we estimate that we will pay state income taxes in certain states over the next several years.

During the 2010 nine-month period, we contributed \$4.4 million to our pension plans. During the remainder of fiscal 2010, we expect to contribute between \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million to our pension plans.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make judgments and estimations that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. We consider our accounting policies relating to intangible assets and income taxes to be critical policies that require judgments or estimations in their application where variances in those judgments or estimations could make a significant difference to future reported results. These critical accounting policies and estimates are more fully disclosed in our 2009 Form 10-K.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this “Quarterly Report”) contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21 E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are all statements other than those of historical fact. When used in this Quarterly Report, the words “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “will,” “may,” “should” and similar words and expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. Among other things, statements that describe our expectations regarding our results of operations, general and industry-specific economic conditions, expected benefits from our various corporate initiatives, future pension plan contributions, capital expenditures and future effective income tax rates are forward-looking statements. Readers of this Quarterly Report are cautioned that any forward-looking statements, including those regarding the intent, belief or current expectations of our management, are not guarantees of future performance, results or events and involve risks and uncertainties, and that actual results and events may differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors including, but not limited to, those listed under the heading “Risk Factors” in our 2009 Form 10-K and subsequently filed quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, as well as the other factors described from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. We undertake no obligation to update such forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

We believe that the market risk of our financial instruments as of September 30, 2010 has not materially changed since December 31, 2009. The market risk profile on December 31, 2009 is disclosed in our 2009 Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and the Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and the CFO have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or furnish under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. No system of controls, no matter how well designed and implemented, can provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the system of controls are met and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three-month period ended September 30, 2010 identified in connection with this evaluation that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Please refer to the information set out under the heading “Risk Factors” in Part I, Item 1A in our 2009 Form 10-K and Part II, Item 1A in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010 for a description of risk factors that we determined to be most material to our financial condition and results of operation. We do not believe there have been subsequent material changes in these risk factors.

Item 5. Other Information

Gray Television, Inc.

Description of Annual Incentive Plan Structure

The Management Personnel Committee of the board of directors of the Company, operating as the compensation committee, has established certain annual cash incentive opportunities for the Company’s executive officers. The target opportunities are based on the achievement of certain performance metrics, and have been established as a percentage of each executive officer’s base salary, with such target opportunities for each of Messrs. Howell, Jr., Prather, Jr., Ryan and Beizer being 60%, 35%, 30% and 30% of each individual’s base salary, respectively.

For the year ending December 31, 2010, the Committee established threshold (minimum), target and maximum levels of performance for each metric, with a 25% weighting of the total incentive opportunity assigned to each of the following metrics: (i) revenue, (ii) “net operating profit” (calculated as net revenue less broadcast expense and corporate and administrative expense), (iii) broadcast cash flow (as defined in the Non-GAAP reconciliations published by the Company) and (iv) certain individual performance metrics for each of the executive officers. Target performance goals were developed based on internal company budgets and forecasts. If actual Company performance for any of metrics (i), (ii) or (iii) above is less than 95% of the “target” amount of such metrics, no payment will be made for that metric. If actual performance is between 95% and 100% of target

Table of Contents

performance, awards will be paid on a scale of 50% to 100% of each executive officer's target opportunity. If actual performance exceeds 100% and is less than or equal to 110% of target performance, awards will be payable on a scale from 100% to 150% of an executive officer's target opportunity, in each case based on linear interpolation of actual results. The maximum award payable for any single metric is 150% of an executive officer's target opportunity for that metric. If the threshold measure is not achieved, then no payment will be made for the associated metric. In addition, if the threshold measure for broadcast cash flow is not achieved, no payment will be made upon the achievement of any individual performance metric.

The Committee will review performance at the conclusion of the fiscal year and determine actual incentive payments earned.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 31.1 Rule 13(a) — 14(a) Certificate of Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2 Rule 13(a) — 14(a) Certificate of Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32.1 Section 1350 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 32.2 Section 1350 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer

[Table of Contents](#)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: November 8, 2010

By: /s/ James C. Ryan
James C. Ryan,
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Hilton H. Howell, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Gray Television, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2010

By: /s/ Hilton H. Howell, Jr. _____

Hilton H. Howell, Jr.

Vice-Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, James C. Ryan, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Gray Television, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2010

By: /s/ James C. Ryan

James C. Ryan
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

In connection with the accompanying quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Gray Television, Inc. (the "Company") for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010 (the "Periodic Report"), the undersigned Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certifies pursuant to Title 18, Section 1350 United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of his individual knowledge and belief, that the Periodic Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Periodic Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 8, 2010

/s/ Hilton H. Howell, Jr.

Hilton H. Howell, Jr.

Vice-Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Gray Television, Inc. and will be retained by Gray Television, Inc. and furnished to the SEC or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

In connection with the accompanying quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Gray Television, Inc. (the "Company") for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010 (the "Periodic Report"), the undersigned Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certifies pursuant to Title 18, Section 1350 United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of his individual knowledge and belief, that the Periodic Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the Periodic Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 8, 2010

/s/ James C. Ryan

James C. Ryan,

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Gray Television, Inc. and will be retained by Gray Television, Inc. and furnished to the SEC or its staff upon request.